

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alessandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,313	04/24/2001	Kevin D. Weller	VISAP064/P-11700USD1	5667
75458 7590 09/10/2009 Beyer Law Group LLP/Visa P.O. BOX 1687			EXAMINER	
			WORJLOH, JALATEE	
Cupertino, CA 95015-1687			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3685	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOmail@beyerlaw.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/842.313 WELLER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3685 Jalatee Worjloh All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jalatee Woriloh. (3) . (2) Jon Scott. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 04 September 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 7 fand 43 from previous claims listing). Identification of prior art discussed: Cook and Linehan. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed the differences between the present invention and prior art (i.e. no additioanl software is needed in the present invention). Upon receiving an official response, further consideration and/or search would be given . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.